

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Kareem Al-Jaml Young v. B. M. Trate

Young v. Trate · No. 1:23-cv-00055-TLN-CDB · Decided September 10, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopted the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations in a federal prisoner’s 28 U.S.C. § 2241 habeas proceeding. The court dismissed the petition with prejudice for lack of jurisdiction, declined to issue a certificate of appealability, and directed the clerk to close the case.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	September 10, 2025
DOCKET	1:23-cv-00055-TLN-CDB
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). After the petitioner filed no objections, the district court adopted the findings and recommendations and dismissed the § 2241 petition for lack of jurisdiction.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The district court presumed the magistrate judge's factual findings correct and reviewed the magistrate judge's legal conclusions de novo.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unpublished district court order; precedential status not stated in the opinion.
PARTIES	Kareem Al-Jaml Young v. B. M. Trate

TOPICS

- [federal habeas corpus](#) [subject matter jurisdiction](#) [post-conviction relief](#) [civil procedure](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

- [Federal habeas corpus](#) [Federal civil procedure](#)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations when petitioner filed no objections after proper service.
2. Whether the petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 should be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

HOLDINGS

1. The district court presumes the magistrate judge's findings of fact correct and reviews the magistrate judge's conclusions of law de novo.
2. The petition for a writ of habeas corpus was properly dismissed with prejudice for lack of jurisdiction.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.” (at 2)

“The petition for writ of habeas corpus (ECF No. 1) is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE” (at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Kareem Al-Jaml Young, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The magistrate judge recommended dismissal for lack of jurisdiction. Although a copy of the findings and recommendations was apparently returned, the court determined that petitioner was properly served after the findings were re-served at his updated address, and petitioner filed no objections.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Petitioner filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. On June 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge recommended dismissal for lack of jurisdiction. The findings and recommendations were re-served after petitioner notified the court of a change of address, but petitioner filed no objections within the applicable deadline. The district court adopted the findings and recommendations in full, dismissed the petition with prejudice, declined to issue a certificate of appealability, and directed the clerk to close the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979)
- Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007)