

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
FIRST DEPARTMENT

People v. Rambert

2026 NY Slip Op 03924 · No. Ind. No. 2866/19; Appeal No. 6954; Case No. 2021-04359 · Decided June 23, 2026

SUMMARY

The New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department unanimously affirmed Anthony Rambert's judgment of conviction. The court concluded that the sentence was not excessive.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Renwick, J.P.; Scarpulla, J.; González, J.; Rodriguez, J.; O'Neill Levy, J.
JURISDICTION	New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department
DECIDED	June 23, 2026
DOCKET	Ind. No. 2866/19; Appeal No. 6954; Case No. 2021-04359
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Defendant appealed from a judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, that imposed a sentence. The Appellate Division reviewed the sentence for excessiveness.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Whether the sentence was excessive.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published state intermediate appellate decision
PARTIES	Anthony Rambert v. The People of the State of New York

TOPICS

- sentencing
- appellate procedure
- standard of review
- criminal procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- criminal law
- appellate practice

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the sentence imposed by the Supreme Court, New York County, was excessive.

HOLDINGS

1. The sentence was not excessive.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“finding the sentence not excessive, It is unanimously ordered that the judgment so appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed.” ([*1])

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive facts concerning the underlying criminal conduct. It states only that Rambert appealed from a Supreme Court, New York County judgment rendered November 22, 2021, and that the appellate court reviewed the sentence and found it not excessive.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Anthony Rambert appealed to the First Department from a judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered November 22, 2021. After oral argument and review, the court found the sentence not excessive and unanimously affirmed.

DISPOSITION

affirmed