

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Carlos Pucheta v. Nissan North America, Inc.

Pucheta v. Nissan North America, Inc. · No. CV 25-3582 FMO (RAOx) · Decided October 2, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California ordered the parties to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed for failing to comply with a prior order requiring a settlement conference and a related settlement filing. The court warned that failure to respond by October 9, 2025, could result in sanctions and/or dismissal for lack of prosecution.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Central District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Fernando M. Olguin
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Central District of California
DECIDED	October 2, 2025
DOCKET	CV 25-3582 FMO (RAOx)
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The court issued an order to show cause regarding the parties' failure to comply with a prior order requiring completion of a settlement conference and filing of a settlement notice or status report.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown; civil minutes order and order to show cause

TOPICS

- sanctions
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- settlement enforcement
- judicial sanctions

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the parties should be required to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed for failing to comply with the court's May 27, 2025 order.

2. Whether failure to respond to the order to show cause could result in sanctions or dismissal for lack of prosecution.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The court had ordered the parties to complete a settlement conference before a private mediator no later than September 29, 2025. If the case settled, the parties were required to file a notice of settlement within 24 hours; otherwise, they were required to file a settlement status report within 48 hours after the conference. As of October 2, 2025, neither filing had been submitted.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The court's May 27, 2025 order required the parties to complete a settlement conference by September 29, 2025, and to file either a notice of settlement or a settlement status report after the conference. Neither filing had been made by October 2, 2025. The court ordered the parties to show cause in writing by October 9, 2025, why sanctions should not be imposed and warned that failure to respond could result in sanctions or dismissal for lack of prosecution.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S. Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962)
- Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002), cert. denied, 538 U.S. 909 (2003)