

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO,
WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

Jayce K. Kadire v. RV Retailer Texas II, LCC, et al.

Kadire · No. 3:25-cv-277 · Decided February 25, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio adopted the magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation and dismissed the case in its entirety. The court also certified that an appeal would not be taken in good faith, denied the plaintiff leave to appeal in forma pauperis, and closed the case.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division at Dayton
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Michael J. Newman
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division at Dayton
DECIDED	February 25, 2026
DOCKET	3:25-cv-277
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation after the objection period under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b) expired without objections.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The district court reviewed the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation after the period for objections expired; the opinion does not state a separate standard of review.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished
PARTIES	Jayce K. Kadire v. RV Retailer Texas II, LCC, et al.

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure
- standard of review

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- appellate procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation when the parties filed no objections before the Rule 72(b) deadline expired.

2. Whether the case should be dismissed in its entirety.
3. Whether an appeal would be taken in good faith and whether Plaintiff should be permitted to appeal in forma pauperis.

HOLDINGS

1. The district court adopted the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation in its entirety after the parties failed to file timely objections.
2. The case was dismissed in its entirety.
3. The court certified that an appeal would not be taken in good faith and denied Plaintiff leave to appeal in forma pauperis.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge in its entirety and DISMISSES this case.”

“Additionally, the Court CERTIFIES that an appeal would not be taken in good faith and accordingly DENIES Plaintiff leave to appeal in forma pauperis.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion contains no substantive factual findings concerning the underlying dispute. It states only that Plaintiff brought the case against RV Retailer Texas II, LCC, and others and that the magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The case was referred to Magistrate Judge Caroline H. Gentry under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation, and the parties filed no objections within the time allowed by Rule 72(b). The district court adopted the Report and Recommendation in its entirety, dismissed the case, certified that an appeal would not be taken in good faith, denied Plaintiff leave to appeal in forma pauperis, and closed the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Rhines v. Weber, 544 U.S. 269 (2005)

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON JAYCE K. KADIRE, Plaintiff, Case No. 3:25-cv-277 vs. RV RETAILER TEXAS II, LCC, et al., District Judge Michael J. Newman Magistrate Judge Caroline H. Gentry Defendants.

ORDER: (1) ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE (Doc.

No. 6); (2) DISMISSING THIS CASE IN ITS ENTIRETY; (3) CERTIFYING THAT AN APPEAL WOULD NOT BE TAKEN IN GOOD FAITH; AND (4) DENYING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Caroline H. Gentry (Doc.

No. 6), to whom this case was referred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The parties have not filed objections to the Report and Recommendation and the time for doing so under Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) has expired. Upon careful review of the foregoing, the Court determines that the Report and Recommendation should be adopted. See *Rhines v. Weber*, 544 U.S. 269 (2005).

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge in its entirety and DISMISSES this case. Additionally, the Court CERTIFIES that an appeal would not be taken in good faith and accordingly DENIES Plaintiff leave to appeal in forma pauperis. This case is CLOSED. IT IS SO ORDERED. February 25, 2026 s/Michael J. Newman Hon. Michael J. Newman United States District Judge