

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF  
GEORGIA, VALDOSTA DIVISION**

**Nick M. Bajalia and Monique Bajalia v. Giantex Inc., et al.**

Bajalia · No. 7:24-CV-134 (LAG) · Decided January 21, 2026

---

**SUMMARY**

The United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia grants the parties' consent motion for a protective order concerning the plaintiffs' confidential medical information and records. The court adopts the parties' proposed protective order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).

**OPINION**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
VALDOSTA DIVISION NICK M BAJALIA and: MONIQUE BAJALIA,; Plaintiffs,; v.: CASE  
NO.: 7:24-CV-134 (LAG) GIANTEX INC., et al.,; Defendants.: ORDER Before the Court is the  
Parties' Consent Motion for Protective Order. (Doc. 23). Therein, the Parties ask the Court to enter  
a protective order to protect Plaintiff's confidential medical information and records.

(See *id.* at 2–3). Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c), the Court may enter a protective  
order upon finding good cause. Stipulated protective orders have become “commonplace in the  
federal courts” to allow the parties “to designate particular documents as confidential... and  
postpone[] the necessary ‘good cause’ required for entry of a protective order until the confidential  
designation is challenged.” *Chicago Trib.*

*Co. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc.*, 263 F.3d 1304, 1307 (11th Cir. 2001) (citing *In re Alexander  
Grant & Co. Litig.*, 820 F.2d 352, 356 (11th Cir. 1987)). Accordingly, the Court finds a protective  
order is warranted to facilitate discovery.

The Parties' Joint Motion (Doc. 23) is GRANTED, and the Parties' proposed Consent Protective  
Order (Doc. 23) is hereby ADOPTED and made the ORDER of the Court. SO ORDERED, this  
21st day of January, 2026. /s/ Leslie A. Gardner LESLIE A. GARDNER, CHIEF JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT