

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
FIRST DEPARTMENT**

**Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London v. Southwest Marine and
General Insurance Company**

Certain Underwriters, 2026 NY Slip Op 02329 (Supreme Court of the State of New York Appellate Division
First Department 2026) · No. Case No. 2025-02496; Appeal No. 6399; Index No. 651449/24 · Decided April 16,
2026

OPINION

Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London v. Southwest Mar. & Gen. Ins. Co. 2026 NY Slip Op 02329

PER CURIAM.

Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London v Southwest Mar. & Gen. Ins. Co. - 2026 NY Slip Op 02329 skip to main content

It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website.

Law Reporting Bureau Home Page

Law Reporting Bureau

Thomas J.K. Smith, State Reporter

Court Decisions Resources About

lrb-seal.png

Home

All Court Decisions

Decisions

Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London v Southwest Mar. & Gen. Ins. Co.

2026 NY Slip Op 02329

April 16, 2026

Appellate Division, First Department

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London, Plaintiff-Respondent,

v

Southwest Marine and General Insurance Company, Defendant-Appellant.

Decided and Entered: April 16, 2026

Index No. 651449/24|Appeal No. 6399|Case No. 2025-02496|

Before: Manzanet-Daniels, J.P., Higgitt, Rosado, O'Neill Levy, Chan, JJ.

O'Toole Scrivo LLC, New York (Steven A. Weiner of counsel), for appellant.

Kenney Shelton Liptak Nowak LLP, Buffalo (Matthew C. Ronan of counsel), for respondent.

[*1]

Order, Supreme Court, New York County (Arlene P. Bluth, J.), entered March 6, 2025, which granted plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment declaring that defendant must defend plaintiff's insured, nonparty Arsenal Scaffold Inc., on a primary and noncontributory basis in an underlying action and reimburse plaintiff for all costs incurred in defending Arsenal in the underlying action, and denied defendant's cross-motion for summary judgment, unanimously affirmed, without costs.

Plaintiff commenced this action for, among other things, a declaration that defendant had a duty to defend plaintiff's named insured, Arsenal, in an underlying action. In the underlying action, the plaintiffs each allege that they were "caused to be seriously injured when" they fell "from an elevated height at" the construction site, and subsequently claimed to have been injured while working on a scaffold. Defendant's named insured, JGR Services Inc., contracted with Arsenal to perform work at the site, and is the only party identified that performed work there on behalf of Arsenal. In a third-party complaint, JGR is also alleged to have caused the underlying plaintiffs' injuries as a result of its negligence.

The court properly granted plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment declaring that defendant had a duty to defend Arsenal in the underlying action as an additional insured. The record amply supports the court's conclusion that defendant "has actual knowledge of facts establishing a reasonable possibility of coverage," thereby requiring it to provide a defense (*Frontier Insulation Contrs. v Merchants Mut. Ins. Co.*, 91 NY2d 169, 175 [1997] [internal quotation marks omitted]; *see Live Nation Mktg., Inc. v Greenwich Ins. Co.*, 188 AD3d 422, 423 [1st Dept 2020]). While defendant's named insured is not a defendant in the underlying action, defendant cannot simply ignore the facts known to it that create a reasonable possibility of coverage (*see Sumner Bldrs. Corp. v Rutgers Cas. Ins. Co.*, 101 AD3d 417, 418-419 [1st Dept 2012]).

We have considered defendant's remaining arguments and find them unavailing.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER

OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED: April 16, 2026

Court Decisions

All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search

Resources

RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index

About

About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter

Quick Contact Info

17 Lodge Street

Albany, NY 12207

Phone: (518) 453-6900


Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

PER CURIAM.

Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London v Southwest Mar. & Gen. Ins. Co. - 2026 NY Slip Op 02329

skip to main content

It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website.




Law Reporting Bureau Home Page

Law Reporting Bureau

Thomas J.K. Smith, State Reporter

Court Decisions Resources About



Home

All Court Decisions

Decisions

Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London v Southwest Mar. & Gen. Ins. Co.

2026 NY Slip Op 02329 April 16, 2026 Appellate Division, First Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London, Plaintiff-Respondent, v Southwest Marine and General Insurance Company, Defendant-Appellant.

Decided and Entered: April 16, 2026 Index No. 651449/24 Appeal No. 6399 Case No. 2025-02496 Before: Manzanet-Daniels, J.P., Higgitt, Rosado, O'Neill Levy, Chan, JJ.

O'Toole Scrivo LLC, New York (Steven A. Weiner of counsel), for appellant. Kenney Shelton Liptak Nowak LLP, Buffalo (Matthew C. Ronan of counsel), for respondent.

Order, Supreme Court, New York County (Arlene P. Bluth, J.), entered March 6, 2025, which granted plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment declaring that defendant must defend plaintiff's insured, nonparty Arsenal Scaffold Inc., on a primary and noncontributory basis in an underlying action and reimburse plaintiff for all costs incurred in defending Arsenal in the underlying action, and denied defendant's cross-motion for summary judgment, unanimously affirmed, without costs.

Plaintiff commenced this action for, among other things, a declaration that defendant had a duty to defend plaintiff's named insured, Arsenal, in an underlying action. In the underlying action, the plaintiffs each allege that they were "caused to be seriously injured when" they fell "from an elevated height at" the construction site, and subsequently claimed to have been injured while working on a scaffold. Defendant's named insured, JGR Services Inc., contracted with Arsenal to perform work at the site, and is the only party identified that performed work there on behalf of Arsenal. In a third-party complaint, JGR is also alleged to have caused the underlying plaintiffs' injuries as a result of its negligence.

The court properly granted plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment declaring that defendant had a duty to defend Arsenal in the underlying action as an additional insured. The record amply supports the court's conclusion that defendant "has actual knowledge of facts establishing a reasonable possibility of coverage," thereby requiring it to provide a defense (*Frontier Insulation Contrs. v Merchants Mut. Ins. Co.*, 91 NY2d 169, 175 [1997] [internal quotation marks omitted]; *see Live Nation Mktg., Inc. v Greenwich Ins. Co.*, 188 AD3d 422, 423 [1st Dept 2020]). While defendant's named insured is not a defendant in the underlying action, defendant cannot simply ignore the facts known to it that create a reasonable possibility of coverage (*see Sumner Bldrs. Corp. v Rutgers Cas. Ins. Co.*, 101 AD3d 417, 418-419 [1st Dept 2012]).

We have considered defendant's remaining arguments and find them unavailing.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER

OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED: April 16, 2026

Court Decisions All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search Resources RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy

Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index </div> <div>
<p>About</p> About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter </div>
<div> <p>Quick Contact Info</p> <p>17 Lodge Street</p> <p>Albany, NY 12207</p>
<p>Phone: (518) 453-6900</p> </div> </footer> </div> <div> <p>Links to or from other sites do
not signify endorsement or relationship with them.</p> </div> </div>

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/new-york-supreme-court-appellate-division-first-department-supreme-court-of-the-state-of-new-york-ap/2026/certain-underwriters-at-lloyd-s-london-v-southwest-marine-wwd8v7oo>