

**APPELLATE DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW
YORK, SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT**

Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Ams. v. Maron

2025 NY Slip Op 06986 · No. 2024-05968 · Decided December 17, 2025

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, Second Department dismissed as academic Lisa Maron's appeal from an order extending the time for Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas to schedule a foreclosure sale and denying her request to stay proceedings. The court concluded that the extension period had expired and that related appeals had been decided, rendering the issues academic.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Ams. v Maron (2025 NY Slip Op 06986) Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Ams. v Maron 2025 NY Slip Op 06986 Decided on December 17, 2025 Appellate Division, Second Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This opinion is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

Decided on December 17, 2025 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department BETSY BARROS, J.P. BARRY E. WARHIT JANICE A. TAYLOR DONNA-MARIE E. GOLIA, JJ. 2024-05968 (Index No. 710394/17) [*1]Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, etc., respondent, v Lisa Maron, appellant, et al., defendants. Yolanda A. Corion, Brooklyn, NY, for appellant.

McCalla Raymer Leibert Pierce, LLC, New York, NY (Brian P. Scibetta of counsel), for respondent. DECISION & ORDER In an action to foreclose a mortgage, the defendant Lisa Maron appeals from an order of the Supreme Court, Queens County (Marguerite A. Grays, J.), entered June 12, 2024.

The order granted the plaintiff's motion to extend the time to schedule a foreclosure sale and denied the cross-motion of the defendant Lisa Maron to stay all proceedings in the action pending determination of appeals from two orders in the action. ORDERED that the appeal is dismissed as academic, with costs.

The extension of time granted to the plaintiff to December 31, 2024, to schedule a foreclosure sale has since passed. Further, the question of whether all proceedings in the action should have been stayed pending determination of related appeals in the action has been rendered academic in light

of the determination of those related appeals (see Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Ams. v Maron, ___ AD3d ___ [Appellate Division Docket No. 2023-12413; decided herewith]; Badzio v Americare Certified Special Servs., Inc., 177 AD3d 838, 842).

BARROS, J.P., WARHIT, TAYLOR and GOLIA, JJ., concur. ENTER: Darrell M. Joseph Clerk of the Court

PER CURIAM.

Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Ams. v Maron (2025 NY Slip Op 06986) Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Ams. v Maron 2025 NY Slip Op 06986 Decided on December 17, 2025 Appellate Division, Second Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This opinion is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

Decided on December 17, 2025 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department BETSY BARROS, J.P. BARRY E. WARHIT JANICE A. TAYLOR DONNA-MARIE E. GOLIA, JJ. 2024-05968 (Index No. 710394/17) [*1]Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, etc., respondent, v Lisa Maron, appellant, et al., defendants. Yolanda A. Corion, Brooklyn, NY, for appellant.

McCalla Raymer Leibert Pierce, LLC, New York, NY (Brian P. Scibetta of counsel), for respondent. DECISION & ORDER In an action to foreclose a mortgage, the defendant Lisa Maron appeals from an order of the Supreme Court, Queens County (Marguerite A. Grays, J.), entered June 12, 2024.

The order granted the plaintiff's motion to extend the time to schedule a foreclosure sale and denied the cross-motion of the defendant Lisa Maron to stay all proceedings in the action pending determination of appeals from two orders in the action. ORDERED that the appeal is dismissed as academic, with costs.

The extension of time granted to the plaintiff to December 31, 2024, to schedule a foreclosure sale has since passed. Further, the question of whether all proceedings in the action should have been stayed pending determination of related appeals in the action has been rendered academic in light of the determination of those related appeals (see Deutsche Bank Trust Co. Ams. v Maron, ___ AD3d ___ [Appellate Division Docket No. 2023-12413; decided herewith]; Badzio v Americare Certified Special Servs., Inc., 177 AD3d 838, 842).

BARROS, J.P., WARHIT, TAYLOR and GOLIA, JJ., concur. ENTER: Darrell M. Joseph Clerk of the Court