

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF
FLORIDA, FORT MYERS DIVISION**

Luis A. Lopez Cordero v. Kristi Noem, et al.

Lopez-Cordero · No. 2:25-CV-1210-JES-DNF · Decided February 4, 2026

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION LUIS A. LOPEZ CORDERO, Petitioner, v. Case No. 2:25-CV-1210-JES-DNF KRISTI NOEM, et al., Respondents. OPINION AND ORDER Respondents have filed an unopposed motion to dismiss Petitioner Luis A. Lopez Cordero’s petition for writ of habeas corpus. (Doc. 9). Respondents assert that Lopez Cordero has been removed from the United States and that his petition is now moot.

(Id. at 1). For the following reasons, the motion is granted. In his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition for writ of habeas corpus, Lopez Cordero, a Cuban citizen, asserted that Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) revoked his order of supervision and took him into custody on December 16, 2025. (Doc. 1 at 7). ICE did not notify Lopez Cordero of its reasons for revocation or conduct an interview to afford Petitioner an opportunity to respond.

(Id.) When he filed his petition, ICE had not secured travel documents to effectuate Lopez Cordero’s removal. (Id.) Lopez Cordero sought release from custody. (Doc. 1 at 27–28). Federal courts have limited jurisdiction.

Under Article III of the Constitution, our power extends only to actual “Cases” and “Controversies.” Id. We do not issue advisory opinions or decide questions that have become academic. See, e.g., *Al Najjar v. Ashcroft*, 273 F.3d 1330, 1336 (11th Cir. 2001).

Here, Lopez Cordero asked this Court for a writ of habeas corpus to secure his release from detention. But the Government ended his custody by removing him from the United States. Thus, the condition he sought to escape no longer exists, and the habeas petition is moot. *Djadju v. Vega*, 32 F.4th 1102, 1107 (11th Cir. 2022); see also *Salmeron-Salmeron v. Spivey*, 926 F.3d 1283, 1289 (11th Cir.

2019) (“As a general rule, a habeas petition presents a live case or controversy only when a petitioner is in custody.”). No exception to the mootness doctrine applies here. A case may survive if a petitioner suffers from collateral consequences of the detention—some concrete injury that persists even after release. But Lopez Cordero’s habeas petition challenged only his detention, not the validity of his removal order or anything else.

He has not argued any continuing injury from his prior detention that this Court can now remedy. See *Djadju*, 32 F.4th at 1107 (“So where a habeas petitioner has been released from detention—when, for example, he is removed from the country—and he has not raised a challenge to a collateral consequence, we’ve held that his appeal of the denial of his habeas petition has become moot.”).

There is also an exception for cases that are “capable of repetition, yet evading review.” *Al Najjar*, 273 F.3d at 1339. But this applies only in “exceptional situations” where there is a “demonstrated probability” that the same controversy will happen again to the same person. *Id.* That is not the case here.

The probability that Lopez Cordero will return to the United States and be re-detained is too remote to sustain federal jurisdiction. See, e.g., *Mehmood v. United States Att’y Gen.*, 808 F. App’x 911, 913 (11th Cir. 2020).

Moreover, Lopez Cordero can re-file a habeas petition should that occur. Lopez Cordero is no longer in custody. There is no live controversy left to adjudicate, and the Court is powerless to grant relief for a detention that has already ended. See *Zapeta v. Exec. Dir. of the Fla. Div. of Emergency Mgmt.*, No. 2:25-CV-00697-JLB-KCD, 2025 WL 2432501, at *3 (M.D.

Fla. Aug. 22, 2025). Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED: 1. Respondents’ motion to dismiss (Doc. 9) is GRANTED. 2. Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1) is DISMISSED as moot. 3. The Clerk is DIRECTED to terminate all deadlines, deny any pending motions, and close this case. DONE AND ORDERED in Fort Myers, Florida on February 3, 2026.
9 — OL adi EF.

STEELE SHNIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE