

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

David C. Traub, Richard O. Cabel, William R. Sotka, Ern Andrew Gregorio, Gloria Giselda N. Arroyo, Winelda C. Ycoy, Simon U. Cabel, and Christopher R. Asis v. Stardust389, Inc.; Airlock389, Inc.; Christopher H. Cooper, and Patricia Ann Bellasalma

Traub v. Stardust389, Inc. · No. Civil Action No. 22-1582-SRF · Decided March 20, 2026

OPINION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE DAVID C. TRAUB, RICHARD O. CABEL,) WILLIAM R. SOTKA, ERN ANDREW) GREGORIO, GLORIA GISELDA N. ARROYO, □□ WINELDA C. YCOY, SIMON U. CABEL, and) CHRISTOPHER R. ASIS,)) Plaintiffs,)) Vv.) Civil Action No. 22-1582-SRF) STARDUST389, INC.; AIRLOCK389, INC.;) CHRISTOPHER H. COOPER, and PATRICIA) ANN BELLASALMA,)) Defendants.) MEMORANDUM ORDER At Wilmington this 20th day of March, 2026, the court having considered Plaintiffs’ unopposed motion to compel the production of documents and deposition attendance by defendants Stardust389, Inc., Airlock389 Inc., and Christopher H. Cooper (collectively, the “Judgment Debtors”), IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiffs’ motion (D.I.

160) is GRANTED-IN- PART as follows: 1. Facts. On July 2, 2025, the court entered Memorandum Opinion, Order, and Judgment enforcing the parties’ settlement agreement. (D.I. 146; D.I. 147; D.I. 148) Plaintiffs then served writs of execution on the Judgment Debtors and sought discovery in aid of execution on the judgment. (D.I. 152; D.I. 153; D.I. 154; D.I. 155; D.I.

156) 2. On December 16, 2025, Plaintiffs served notices of deposition and notices for the production of documents on the Judgment Debtors. (D.I. 157) The Judgment Debtors did not appear for deposition or produce any documents. (D.J. 169, Ex. 6) Plaintiffs now move to compel the Judgment Debtors to produce all responsive documents, sit for deposition, and reimburse Plaintiffs for court reporter costs incurred due to the Judgment Debtors’ nonappearances at their previously scheduled depositions.

(D.I. 169, Ex. 7) 3. Legal Standard. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 69(a)(2), a judgment creditor “may obtain discovery from any person—including the judgment debtor—as provided by these rules or by the procedure of the state where the court is located.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 69(a)(2). A judgment creditor may move to compel the judgment debtor’s compliance with post- judgment discovery requests under Rule 37.

Davis v. Erigere Rapidus Sols. ERS, Inc., 2025 WL 2962482, at *2 (D.N.J. Oct. 20, 2025); see Hindman LLC v. Mihaly, 2023 WL 7735543, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 15, 2023). Rule 37(b) states that if “a party or a party’s officer, director, or managing agent... fails to obey an order to provide or permit discovery,” the court may issue further orders including “treating as contempt of court the failure to obey any order.” Fed.

R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b)(2)(A)(vi). Such orders may include requiring the disobedient party to pay the unreasonable expenses caused by the failure to provide discovery. Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2)(C). 4. Analysis. Plaintiffs have demonstrated good faith attempts to obtain the requested discovery by serving the discovery notices on counsel and emailing and mailing them to the Judgment Debtors.

(D.I. 169, Exs. 2-5) The Judgment Debtors did not oppose or otherwise respond to the motion to compel. Consequently, Plaintiffs’ motion to compel is GRANTED. 5. Conclusion. For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs’ motion to compel is - GRANTED-IN-PART as follows: a. On or before April 2, 2026, the Judgment Debtors shall produce all responsive documents requested in Plaintiffs’ December 16, 2025 deposition notices; b. On or before April 16, 2026, the Judgment Debtors shall appear without objection for oral deposition on a mutually agreed date; c. Plaintiffs’ request for reimbursement of \$900 in certified shorthand reporter costs incurred due to the Judgment Debtors’ nonappearances at deposition is DENIED without prejudice; d. The Judgment Debtors are hereby warned that failure to comply with this Memorandum Order may result in the Judgment Debtors being held in contempt and/or other sanctions being imposed to enforce the terms of this Memorandum Order; and e. Counsel for the Judgment Debtors shall serve a copy of this Memorandum Order on the Judgment Debtors and any individuals purporting to represent the Judgment Debtors at their last known email and mailing addresses based on the most current information in counsel’s possession.

Counsel shall file a certificate of service confirming same on or before March 26, 2026. a \UD_) Sherry R. mas United States Magi8trate Judge