

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
TEXAS, CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION**

Curtis Wood v. Warden Richard Luna

No. 2:25-CV-00096, United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Corpus Christi Division
(January 20, 2026)

OPINION

Wood

PER CURIAM.

□ Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

January 20, 2026 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

CURTIS WOOD, §

§ Petitioner, § §

v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:25-CV-00096

§

WARDEN RICHARD LUNA, §

§ Respondent. §

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton's Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R"). (D.E. 17). The M&R recommends that the Court deny Petitioner's Rule 59(e) motion to alter or amend judgment. /d. at 5. The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge's M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); *Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, L.P.*, No. 4:14-CV-02700, 2015 WL 3823141, at *1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015) (Harmon, J.) (citation omitted). Having reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court ADOPTS the M&R in its entirety. (D.E. 17). Accordingly, the Court DENIES Petitioner's Rule 59(e) motion to alter or amend judgment, (D.E. 16). 1/2 SO ORDERED. i If / / / (

DAV ORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Signed: Corpus Christi, Texas January 2026

