

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Tomika Shanee Oliver v. The State of Texas

No. 10-25-00165-CR · No. 10-25-00165-CR · Decided March 26, 2026

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Court of Appeals Tenth Appellate District of Texas 10-25-00165-CR Tomika Shanee Oliver, Appellant v. The State of Texas, Appellee On appeal from the 369th District Court of Leon County, Texas Judge Charles Michael Davis, presiding Trial Court Cause No. 24-145-DCCR-00155 JUSTICE SMITH delivered the opinion of the Court. MEMORANDUM OPINION After a jury trial, Tomika Shanee Oliver was convicted of the felony offense of evading arrest or detention with a vehicle.

See TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 38.04(b)(2)(A). The jury found two felony enhancement paragraphs to be true and assessed punishment at ninety-nine years in prison. Oliver presents one issue on appeal: whether the State's discussion of parole law during the final argument of the punishment phase warrants a new trial. Oliver concedes that she did not object to the State's final jury argument.

A defendant's failure to object to a jury argument forfeits her right to complain about the argument on appeal. *Cockrell v. State*, 933 S.W.2d 73, 89 (Tex. Crim. App. 1996). Because an objection was necessary to preserve error, if any, regarding this issue, we overrule Oliver's sole issue on appeal and affirm the judgment of the trial court. See *Sanders v. State*, No. 10-12-00019-CR, 2012 WL 5974017, at *3 (Tex.

App.—Waco Nov. 29, 2012, pet. ref'd) (mem. op., not designated for publication) (holding an objection was necessary to preserve error regarding jury argument on parole law). STEVE SMITH Justice OPINION DELIVERED and FILED: March 26, 2026 Before Chief Justice Johnson, Justice Smith, and Justice Harris Affirmed Do not publish CRPM Tomika Shanee Oliver v. The State of Texas Page 2