

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Scott Andrew Morrison v. Steven Acquisto, et al.

Morrison v. Acquisto · No. 2:25-cv-2723 CKD P · Decided November 21, 2025

OPINION

Acquisto

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 SCOTT ANDREW MORRISON, No. 2:25-cv-2723 CKD P

12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 STEVEN ACQUISTO, et al., ORDER AND 15 Defendants. FINDINGS
AND RECOMMENDATIONS 16 17 On October 7, 2025, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed
with leave to amend. The 18 amended complaint was due on November 10, 2025, and plaintiff has
not filed an amended 19 complaint. 20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of
the Court assign a district 21 court judge to this case; and 22 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED
that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See 23 Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 24
These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 25
assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 26
after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
27 with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings
28 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified 1
|| time waives the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th 2 |
Cir. 1991). 3 || Dated: November 21, 2025 Card Kt | ([z4 □□□

4 CAROLYNK.DELANEY

5 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6 7 8] 1 9 morr2723.fta 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28