

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
WISCONSIN

Kanasha Woods, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated v.
Ascension Health

Woods v. Ascension Health, No. 25-CV-1394 (E.D. Wis. Mar. 26, 2026) · No. 25-CV-1394 · Decided March 26, 2026

SUMMARY

The court addresses Kanasha Woods’s claims against Ascension Health under the Fair Labor Standards Act and Wisconsin law concerning overtime computation and alleged off-the-clock work. The court grants Woods’s motion to stay pending the Seventh Circuit’s decision in Lutz v. Froedtert Health Inc. and denies Ascension’s motions to dismiss without prejudice or as moot.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin
DECIDED	March 26, 2026
DOCKET	25-CV-1394
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff brought collective and class claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act and Wisconsin law. Defendant moved to dismiss the amended complaint, and plaintiff moved to stay the proceedings pending the Seventh Circuit's decision in Lutz v. Froedtert Health Inc.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	On a motion to dismiss, the court considered whether the amended complaint stated a claim; the decision primarily addressed the discretionary standard for staying proceedings.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown

TOPICS

- flsa
- wage and hour
- motions to dismiss
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- employment law
- civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the proceedings should be stayed pending the Seventh Circuit's decision in *Lutz v. Froedtert Health Inc.*
2. Whether Ascension's motion to dismiss the amended complaint should be decided before the Seventh Circuit provides guidance on the allegedly identical overtime-computation issue.
3. Whether Ascension's motion to dismiss the original complaint became moot after Woods filed an amended complaint.

HOLDINGS

1. A stay was appropriate because the case was at an early stage, the *Lutz* appeal presented an identical and potentially dispositive issue, and Ascension did not show undue prejudice from a stay.
2. Ascension's motion to dismiss the original complaint was denied as moot because Woods filed an amended complaint.
3. Ascension's motion to dismiss the amended complaint was denied without prejudice while the proceedings were stayed.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“When determining whether a stay is appropriate, courts often consider the following: “(1) whether the litigation is at an early stage . . . ; (2) whether a stay will unduly prejudice or tactically disadvantage the non-moving party; (3) whether a stay will simplify the issues in question and streamline the trial; and (4) whether a stay will reduce the burden of litigation on the parties and on the court.””

*“In fact, the *Lutz* appeal will be the only decision that is binding on this Court.”*

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Woods alleges that Ascension Health incorrectly calculated her overtime compensation and failed to pay her for work performed before 10:00 p.m. on overnight shifts. She asserted claims under the FLSA and Wisconsin law, including collective and class allegations. Ascension contended that its overtime calculation complied with the FLSA and that the off-the-clock claims were improperly embedded in other counts.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Ascension initially moved to dismiss the original complaint. After Woods filed an amended complaint, that motion was denied as moot. Woods then opposed Ascension's motion to dismiss the amended complaint and separately moved to stay the action pending the Seventh Circuit's decision in *Lutz*. The court granted the stay, denied the original motion to dismiss as moot, and denied the motion to dismiss the amended complaint without prejudice.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Ethicon, Inc. v. Quigg, 849 F.2d 1422, 1426–27 (Fed. Cir. 1988)
- Landis v. N. Am. Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936)
- Grice Eng'g, Inc. v. JG Innovations, Inc., 691 F. Supp. 2d 915, 920 (W.D. Wis. 2010)
- Lutz v. Froedtert Health Inc., No. 23-CV-974, 2025 WL 2049206 (E.D. Wis. July 22, 2025)
- Lutz v. Froedtert Health Inc., No. 23-CV-974, 2025 WL 2804431 (E.D. Wis. Oct. 2, 2025)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-wis/2026/kanasha-woods-on-behalf-of-herself-and-others-similarly-xc6atq6m>