

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

Shailenea Strong; Stephen Strong; and Damian Hord v. Tracy
Stafford; Jeff Stafford; and Does 1–10

Strong v. Stafford · No. 6:26-cv-00606-MC · Decided June 5, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Oregon dismissed the action after Plaintiff Shailenea Strong failed to file an amended complaint within the court-ordered deadline. The court also dismissed Stephen Strong and Damian Hord as parties for failing to comply with the court’s prior order.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of Oregon
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Michael J. McShane
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of Oregon
DECIDED	June 5, 2026
DOCKET	6:26-cv-00606-MC
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	After screening the complaint and ordering an amended complaint, the court dismissed the action when the plaintiffs failed to comply within the ordered thirty-day period.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unknown
PARTIES	Shailenea Strong, Stephen Strong, Damian Hord v. Tracy Stafford, Jeff Stafford, Does 1–10

TOPICS

- pleadings
- motions to dismiss
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- civil rights

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the action should be dismissed after the plaintiffs failed to file an amended complaint as ordered following screening under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).
2. Whether Stephen Strong and Damian Hord should be dismissed as parties after failing to comply with the court's order.

HOLDINGS

1. When a plaintiff fails to comply with an order requiring an amended complaint after screening identifies pleading deficiencies, the court may dismiss the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).
2. Stephen Strong and Damian Hord were dismissed as parties after failing to follow the court's April 14, 2026 order.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Shailenea Strong filed a complaint in federal court. Following screening, the court identified deficiencies and ordered an amended complaint, warning that failure to file one within thirty days would result in dismissal. Shailenea Strong did not comply, and Stephen Strong and Damian Hord also failed to follow the court's order after improperly seeking to proceed in forma pauperis.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Shailenea Strong filed the complaint on March 27, 2026. On April 14, 2026, the court screened the complaint, found it subject to dismissal, ordered an amended complaint correcting the deficiencies, and warned that failure to comply within thirty days would result in dismissal. The plaintiffs failed to follow the order, and the court dismissed the action and dismissed Stephen Strong and Damian Hord as parties.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- *Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 44 (1991)