

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Chaderick A. Ingram v. The University of Phoenix, Inc.

Ingram · No. 2:25-cv-2652-DAD-JDP (PS) · Decided November 10, 2025

OPINION

Chaderick A. Ingram v. The University of Phoenix, Inc.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 CHADERICK A. INGRAM, Case No. 2:25-cv-2652-DAD-JDP (PS) 12 Plaintiff,

13 v. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

14 THE UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX, INC.,

15 Defendant. 16

17 On September 22, 2025, defendant filed a motion to dismiss this case for failure to state a 18
claim, which it set for hearing on November 13, 2025.¹ ECF Nos. 4 & 8. To date, plaintiff has 19
not responded to defendant's motion. 20 Under the court's local rules, a responding party is
required to file an opposition or 21 statement of non-opposition to a motion no later than fourteen
days after the date it was filed. 22 E.D. Cal. L.R. 230(c). To manage its docket effectively, the
court requires litigants to meet 23 certain deadlines. The court may impose sanctions, including
dismissing a case, for failure to 24 comply with its orders or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b);
E.D. Cal. L.R. 110; Hells Canyon 25 Pres. Council v. U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th
Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 26 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988). Involuntary dismissal is a
harsh penalty, but a district court has a 27 1 Plaintiff has filed a motion for summary judgment,
which is also set for hearing on

28 November 13, 2025. ECF Nos. 9 & 11.

1 | duty to administer justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. See 2 |
Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1. 3 The court will give
plaintiff the opportunity to explain why sanctions should not be 4 | imposed for failure to file an
opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendant's motion. 5 | Plaintiff's failure to respond
to this order will constitute a failure to comply with a court order and 6 | will result in dismissal of
this action. 7 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that: 8 1. The November 13, 2025 hearing on
defendant's motion to dismiss and plaintiff's 9 | motion for summary judgment is continued to
December 18, 2025, at 10:00 a.m. 10 2. By no later than November 26, 2025, plaintiff shall file an
opposition or statement of 11 || non-opposition to defendant's motion. 12 3. Plaintiff shall show

cause, by no later than November 26, 2025, why sanctions should 13 | not be imposed for failure
to timely file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to 14 | defendant's motion. 15 4.
Defendant may file a reply to plaintiff's opposition, if any, no later than December 11, 16 | 2025.
17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 (q — Dated: _ November 7, 2025 q———

20 JEREMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

22 23 24 25 26 27 28