

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Christopher Ryan Merron v. Cavagnaro

No. 1:24-cv-00677 JLT BAM · No. No. 1:24-cv-00677 JLT BAM · Decided January 5, 2026

OPINION

Cavagnaro

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 CHRISTOPHER RYAN MERRON No. 1:24-cv-00677 JLT BAM

12 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND

RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING

13 v. ACTION WITH PREJUDICE

14 CAVAGNARO, (Doc. 12) 15 Defendant. 16 17 Christopher Ryan Merron is a state prisoner
proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in 18 this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. 19
On October 22, 2025, the Court screened the complaint and found that it failed to state a 20
cognizable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court granted Plaintiff leave to file a first 21
amended complaint or a notice of voluntary dismissal within thirty days. (Doc. 11.) Plaintiff 22
failed to file an amended complaint or otherwise communicate with the Court. 23 On December 5,
2025, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations that 24 recommended this action
be dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to state a claim, failure to obey 25 a Court order, and for
failure to prosecute. (Doc. 12.) The Court served the findings and 26 recommendations on
Plaintiff and notified him that any objections were due within 14 days. (Id. 27 at 10.) The Court
also warned Plaintiff that failure to file objections within the specified time 28 may result in the
waiver of the right to challenge the magistrate's factual findings on appeal. (Id., 1 | citing
Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff did not file 2 | objections,
and the time in which to do so has expired. 3 According to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court
performed a de novo review of this 4 | case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court
concludes that the findings and 5 || recommendations are supported by the record and proper
analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS: 6 1. The findings and recommendations issued on December
5, 2025, (Doc. 12), are 7 ADOPTED. 8 2. This action is DISMISSED with prejudice for failure to
state a claim, failure to obey a 9 court order, and failure to prosecute. 10 3. The Clerk of the Court
is directed to close this case. 11 b IT IS SO ORDERED. 13 | Dated: _January 5, 2026 Cerin |

Tower

TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2026/christopher-ryan-merron-v-cavagnaro-xlt6xf40>