

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT

People of Commerce Township v. Ljupco Sekulovski

No. SC: 159956, Michigan Supreme Court (June 30, 2020)

SUMMARY

Michigan Supreme Court denied leave to appeal in a municipal prosecution by Commerce Township against Ljupco Sekulovski, leaving the Court of Appeals order from June 5, 2019, undisturbed. The Court was not persuaded that the questions presented warranted review. No substantive holdings or legal rules were issued.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Michigan Supreme Court
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Bridget M. McCormack; David F. Viviano; Stephen J. Markman; Brian K. Zahra; Richard H. Bernstein; Elizabeth T. Clement; Megan K. Cavanagh
JURISDICTION	Michigan
DECIDED	June 30, 2020
DOCKET	SC: 159956
DISPOSITION	denied
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Application for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals order
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published
PARTIES	Ljupco Sekulovski v. People of Commerce Township

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- appellate jurisdiction

PRACTICE AREAS

- Appellate Procedure

HOLDINGS

- The application for leave to appeal is denied because the Court is not persuaded that the questions presented should be reviewed.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“the application for leave to appeal ... is DENIED, because we are not persuaded that the questions presented should be reviewed by this Court.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The underlying facts are not discussed in this order.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Court of Appeals denied relief on June 5, 2019. The defendant applied for leave to appeal to the Michigan Supreme Court.

DISPOSITION

denied

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Order Michigan Supreme Court Lansing, Michigan June 30, 2020 Bridget M. McCormack, Chief Justice 159956 David F. Viviano, Chief Justice Pro Tem Stephen J. Markman Brian K. Zahra PEOPLE OF COMMERCE TOWNSHIP, Richard H. Bernstein Plaintiff-Appellee, Elizabeth T. Clement Megan K. Cavanagh, Justices v SC: 159956 COA: 347422 Oakland CC: 2018-169810-AR LJUPCO SEKULOVSKI, Defendant-Appellant.

_____/ On order of the Court, the application for leave to appeal the June 5, 2019 order of the Court of Appeals is considered, and it is DENIED, because we are not persuaded that the questions presented should be reviewed by this Court.

I, Larry S. Royster, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court. June 30, 2020 t0622 Clerk