

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Lorne Currie v. FCA US LLC et al.

Currie v. FCA US LLC · No. 2:23-cv-00927-SPG-AS · Decided November 24, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California ordered Plaintiff Lorne Currie to show cause in writing why the case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. The order followed the parties' settlement-related stipulation and Plaintiff's failure to dismiss the action after the agreed payment deadline.

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES — GENERAL Case No. 2:23-cv-00927-SPG-AS Date November 24, 2025 Title Lorne Currie v. FCA US LLC et al ee Present: The Honorable SHERILYN PEACE GARNETT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE P. Gomez Not Reported Deputy Clerk Court Reporter / Recorder Attorneys Present for Plaintiff: Attorneys Present for Defendants: Not Present Not Present Proceeding: (INCHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE On March 27, 2025, upon receipt of Plaintiff Lorne Currie's ("Plaintiff") Notice of Settlement, (ECF No. 19), the Court set an Order to Show Cause re Dismissal for April 23, 2025, (ECF No. 20).

On April 21, 2025, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation Regarding Plaintiff's Fees, Costs, and Expenses and Request for Entry of Order. (ECF No. 23 ("Joint Stipulation")). The parties represented that Defendant FCA US, LLC ("Defendant") was to pay fees, costs, and expenses for the matter by July 17, 2025. (/d. at 2).

After satisfaction of the payment, Plaintiff was to dismiss the case within ten (10) business days against all parties. (/d.). The Court vacated the April 23, 2025, Order to Show Cause hearing upon receipt of the Joint Stipulation. At present, Plaintiff has not dismissed the case.

The Court enjoys the inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion. See, e.g., *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 629 (1962) ("The authority of a federal trial court to dismiss a plaintiff's action with prejudice because of his failure to prosecute cannot seriously be doubted."). Consistent with that authority, the Court ORDERS Plaintiff to show cause, in writing, on or before December 10, 2025, why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

This matter will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiffs' response. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 78. Failure to respond will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action. IT IS SO ORDERED

Initials of Preparer pg

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-central-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2025/lorne-currie-v-fca-us-llc-et-al-xvmqpt7b>