

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
NEW YORK

Christian Jusino v. Doe

Jusino · No. 25cv5587 (LTS) · Decided December 4, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York entered civil judgment dismissing Christian Jusino's action against Doe for the reasons stated in a December 1, 2025 order. The court certified under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that an appeal would not be taken in good faith and denied in forma pauperis status for purposes of appeal.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Southern District of New York
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Laura Taylor Swain
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Southern District of New York
DECIDED	December 4, 2025
DOCKET	25cv5587 (LTS)
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court entered a civil judgment dismissing the action pursuant to the reasons stated in its December 1, 2025 order and denied in forma pauperis status for purposes of an appeal.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown
PARTIES	Christian Jusino v. Doe

TOPICS

- [civil procedure](#) [appellate procedure](#) [appellate jurisdiction](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

- [civil procedure](#) [appellate procedure](#)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the action should be dismissed for the reasons stated in the court's December 1, 2025 order.
- Whether an appeal would be taken in good faith for purposes of proceeding in forma pauperis.

HOLDINGS

1. The action is dismissed for the reasons stated in the court's December 1, 2025 order.
2. Any appeal from the judgment would not be taken in good faith, so in forma pauperis status is denied for purposes of an appeal.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The document contains no substantive factual findings about the underlying dispute. The court dismissed the action for the reasons stated in a prior order dated December 1, 2025.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The action was before the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The court referred to its December 1, 2025 order as the basis for dismissal and entered civil judgment on December 4, 2025.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962)

OPINION

Jusino

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CHRISTIAN JUSINO,

Plaintiff, 25cv5587 (LTS) -against-

CIVIL JUDGMENT

DOE,

Defendant. For the reasons stated in the December 1, 2025, order, this action is dismissed. The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from the Court's judgment would not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. Cf. *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue). SO ORDERED. Dated: December 4, 2025 New York, New York /s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

