

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Christopher Lee Card v. J. Moreno, et al.

Card · No. 2:24-cv-2250-TLN-EFB (PC) · Decided September 10, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopted the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations after reviewing the plaintiff’s objections. The court dismissed the § 1983 complaint without prejudice for lack of jurisdiction and directed the clerk to terminate pending motions and close the case.

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 CHRISTOPHER LEE CARD, 12 Plaintiff, No. 2:24-cv-2250-TLN-EFB
(PC) 13 v. 14 J. MORENO, et al., ORDER 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff Christopher Lee Card
("Plaintiff") proceeds without counsel in this civil rights 18 action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C.
§ 1983.

The matter was referred to a United States 19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)
(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On May 29, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and
recommendations which were 21 served on all parties and which contained notice that any
objections to the findings and 22 recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days.

(ECF No. 7.) Plaintiff has filed 23 objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 The Court
presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 25 F.2d 207, 208
(9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 26 See *Robbins*
v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the 27 magistrate
judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court[.]”).

28 Having reviewed the file, including Plaintiff’s objections, the Court finds the findings and 1
recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis. 2 Accordingly, IT IS
HEREBY ORDERED that: 3 1.

The findings and recommendations filed May 29, 2025, (ECF No. 7), are ADOPTED 4 in full; 5
2. The complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice for lack of jurisdiction; and 6 3. The Clerk of
Court is directed to TERMINATE all pending motions and CLOSE the 7 case. 8 Date: September
9, 2025 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

