

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
WISCONSIN

Poff v. Scullion

Poff · No. 25-cv-49-pp; Appeal No. 25-3098 · Decided February 5, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin denied Jeff Poff’s motion to appeal without prepaying the appellate filing fee under the Prison Litigation Reform Act. The court found that Poff had accumulated three qualifying strikes, had not alleged imminent danger of serious physical injury, and ordered him to pay the \$605 appellate filing fee by February 19, 2026.

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

JEFF POFF, Plaintiff, v. Case No. 25-cv-49-pp Appeal No. 25-3098 MATTHEW SCULLION, et
al., Defendants.

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL WITHOUT
PREPAYING THE FILING FEE (DKT.

NO. 26)

On October 20, 2025, the court issued an order and judgment dismissing this case. Dkt. Nos. 18, 19. On November 19, 2025, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal, dkt. no. 20, and he subsequently filed a motion for leave to appeal without prepaying the appellate filing fee, dkt. no. 26.

This order denies the plaintiff’s motion to proceed without prepaying the filing fee. Under the Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA), an incarcerated person must pay the applicable filing fees in full for a civil case. 28 U.S.C. §1915(b). If the incarcerated person does not have the money to pay the \$605 filing fee in advance for an appeal, he can request the court for permission to proceed without prepayment.

There are three grounds for denying an incarcerated appellant’s request to proceed without prepaying the filing fee: the incarcerated person has not shown that he is indigent, he has filed the appeal in bad faith or he has three strikes. See 28 U.S.C. §§1915(a)(2)-(3), (g).

Under the PLRA, an incarcerated person may not bring a civil lawsuit or appeal a civil judgment without prepaying the filing fee: if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while

incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

28 U.S.C. §1915(g). Commonly known as the “three-strikes” provision, an incarcerated individual is said to have “struck out” once he or she has accrued three strikes under this section. Court records show that the plaintiff has accumulated three strikes: (1) Poff v. Schellinger, Case No. 25-cv-186-pp (E.D. Wis.) (dismissed for failure to state a claim on September 5, 2025); (2) Poff v. Weadge, Case No. 25-cv-137-pp (E.D.

Wis.) (dismissed for failure to state a claim on September 15, 2025); and (3) Poff v. Scullion, Case No. 25-cv-49-pp (E.D. Wis.) (dismissed for failure to state a claim on October 20, 2025). Further, the plaintiff does not allege any facts showing that he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury.

The plaintiff’s notice of appeal states that he appeals the judgment dismissing his amended complaint. Dkt. No. 20. The plaintiff is currently incarcerated at Waupun Correctional Institution, and the amended complaint complains of events that occurred when he was incarcerated at Wisconsin Secure Program Facility. Dkt. No. 15.

The plaintiff has not alleged that he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury, so he is not eligible for the “imminent danger of serious physical injury” exception to the “three strikes” provision under 28 U.S.C. §1915(g).

The court will deny his motion for leave to proceed on appeal without prepaying the filing fee. The plaintiff incurred the filing fee by filing the notice of appeal. *Newlin v. Helman*, 123 F.3d 429, 433-34 (7th Cir. 1997), rev’d on other grounds by, *Walker v. O’Brien*, 216 F.3d 626 (7th Cir. 2000) and *Lee v. Clinton*, 209 F.3d 1025 (7th Cir. 2000).

The fact that this court is denying the request to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal means that the full filing fee of \$605 is due within fourteen days of this order. *Id.*; Seventh Circuit Rule 3(b). Failure to pay the filing fee in full within the time limit may result in the court of appeals dismissing the appeal. *Newlin*, 123 F.3d at 434.

The court DENIES the plaintiff’s motion for leave to proceed on appeal without prepaying the filing fee. Dkt. No. 26. The court ORDERS that by the end of the day on February 19, 2026 the plaintiff must forward to the Clerk of Court the full appellate filing fee of \$605.

The payment must be clearly identified by the case name and number assigned to this action. If the plaintiff does not pay the \$605 appellate filing fee by the end of the day on February 19, 2026, the Court of Appeals may dismiss his appeal.

The court will provide a copy of this order to the PLRA Attorney, United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, through the court's electronic filing system. Dated in Milwaukee, Wisconsin this 5th day of February, 2026. BY THE COURT: Chief United States District Judge

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-wis/2026/poff-v-scullion-yu3bbb40>