

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
FLORIDA

Kurbatov Andrii v. Garret Ripa

Andrii · No. 1:26-cv-21717-LEIBOWITZ · Decided March 24, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida dismissed Kurbatov Andrii’s 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition and related request for injunctive relief as moot. The court concluded that Petitioner’s removal from the United States and release from Immigration and Customs Enforcement custody eliminated the requested relief and required dismissal for lack of an ongoing case or controversy.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida
DECIDED	March 24, 2026
DOCKET	1:26-cv-21717-LEIBOWITZ
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Petitioner filed a pro se 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition seeking release from immigration detention and injunctive relief. After Respondents reported that Petitioner had been removed from the United States and was no longer detained, the court dismissed the petition as moot.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court reviewed subject-matter jurisdiction under Article III's case-or-controversy requirement and determined de novo whether subsequent events had rendered the habeas petition moot.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown
PARTIES	Kurbatov Andrii v. Garret Ripa

TOPICS

- federal habeas corpus
- immigration detention
- subject matter jurisdiction
- removal proceedings
- immigration

PRACTICE AREAS

- Immigration
- Federal habeas corpus
- Immigration detention
- Civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether Petitioner's § 2241 petition seeking release from immigration detention became moot after he was released from custody through removal from the United States.
2. Whether any exception to the mootness doctrine preserved an Article III case or controversy after Petitioner's release.

HOLDINGS

1. A § 2241 petition by an alien detainee seeking release from custody becomes moot, and the court loses subject-matter jurisdiction, when the petitioner is released from custody and the requested release has occurred.
2. No exception to the mootness doctrine applied because the record contained no reasonable basis to believe that Petitioner would soon be returned to ICE custody.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“If events that occur subsequent to the filing of a lawsuit or an appeal deprive the court of the ability to give the plaintiff or appellant meaningful relief, then the case is moot and must be dismissed.” (at 2)

“Where, as here, an alien detainee files a section 2241 petition requesting release, the case becomes moot and the court loses jurisdiction once the alien is released from custody.” (at 2)

“Because this Court cannot grant relief post-release, no case or controversy exists.” (at 2)

“The remote possibility that an event might recur is not enough to overcome mootness.” (at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Petitioner was detained by Immigration and Customs Enforcement at the Krome Service Processing Center when he filed his § 2241 petition. He sought immediate release from custody. Respondents reported that Petitioner was removed from the United States on March 14, 2026, and was no longer detained. The court found no indication that he would soon be returned to ICE custody.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Petitioner filed the § 2241 petition on March 13, 2026, alleging wrongful detention at the Krome Service Processing Center and seeking immediate release. The court issued an order to show cause, and Respondents filed a response stating that Petitioner had been removed from the United States on March 14, 2026, and was no longer detained. The court dismissed the petition as moot, denied pending motions as moot, and directed the Clerk to close the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Soliman v. United States ex rel. INS, 296 F.3d 1237, 1242 (11th Cir. 2002)
- Lewis v. Continental Bank Corp., 494 U.S. 472, 477 (1990)
- Al Najjar v. Ashcroft, 273 F.3d 1330, 1336 (11th Cir. 2001)
- Maleng v. Cook, 490 U.S. 488, 490-91 (1989)
- Carafas v. LaVallee, 391 U.S. 234, 238 (1968)
- Djadju v. Vega, 32 F.4th 1102, 1107, 1109 (11th Cir. 2022)
- Spencer v. Kemna, 523 U.S. 1, 18 (1998)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-southern-district-of-fl/2026/kurbatov-andrii-v-garret-ripa-yvb52zy6>