

**COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS AT
AMARILLO**

Llano Royalty, Ltd. v. Charlie Cummings and Jo Cummings

No. 07-08-0262-CV (Tex. App.—Amarillo May 12, 2009) · No. 07-08-0262-CV · Decided May 12, 2009

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

NO. 07-08-0262-CV IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS AT AMARILLO PANEL A MAY 12, 2009 _____ LLANO ROYALTY, LTD., APPELLANT V. CHARLIE CUMMINGS, APPELLEE _____ FROM THE 47TH DISTRICT COURT OF POTTER COUNTY; NO. 94,302-A; HONORABLE HAL MINER, JUDGE _____ Before CAMPBELL and HANCOCK and PIRTLE, JJ.
MEMORANDUM OPINION This case involves a dispute over the status of an oil and gas lease.

The trial court entered a judgment in favor of Appellee, Charlie Cummings, that the lease had terminated and also awarded attorney's fees. Appellant, Llano Royalty, Ltd., perfected this appeal. Prior to submission of the merits of the appeal Llano Royalty, Ltd. and Charlie Cummings filed a Joint Motion to Remand. By the motion, the parties represent they have reached an agreement to settle and compromise their differences.

They request this Court set aside the trial court's judgment without regard to the merits and remand the case to the trial court for rendition of judgment in accordance with their agreement. To accord the trial court with jurisdiction to accomplish the relief requested by the parties and effectuate the settlement agreement, we grant the motion and, without passing on the merits of the appeal, set aside the trial court's judgment and remand the cause for further proceedings.

See Tex. R. App. P. 42.1(a)(2)(B). See also Sutton v. Horseshoe Hills Ltd., 278 S.W.3d 923 (Tex.App.—Houston [14th Dist. 2009, no pet.]; Vigil v. Montero, 225 S.W.3d 271 (Tex.App.—El Paso 2006, no pet.). Pursuant to the motion, costs are taxed against the party by whom incurred.

Having set aside the judgment at the request of the parties, no motion for rehearing will be entertained and our mandate will issue forthwith. Patrick A. Pirtle Justice