

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Scott R. Shipley v. Anise Adams, et al.

Shipley v. Adams · No. 2:24-cv-00032-TLN-EFB · Decided September 25, 2025

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 SCOTT R. SHIPLEY, No. 2:24-cv-00032-TLN-EFB 12 Plaintiff, 13 v.
ORDER 14 ANISE ADAMS, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff Scott Shipley (“Plaintiff”)
proceeds without counsel in this civil rights action 18 seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate 19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)
(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On August 13, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and
recommendations herein 21 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all
parties that any objections to 22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within
fourteen days.

No party has filed 23 objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 The Court presumes that
any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 25 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979).
The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 26 *Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d
1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007).

The Court has reviewed the file and finds 27 the findings and recommendations to be supported
by the record and by the magistrate judge’s 28 analysis. 1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
ORDERED that: 2 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 13, 2025 (ECF No. 23) are
adopted in 3 full; 4 2. Plaintiff’s Motion for Default Judgment (ECF No. 21) is DENIED; 5 3.

Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint is dismissed without further leave to amend; and 6 4. The
Clerk of Court is directed to administratively terminate any pending motions and 7 close the case.
8 IT IS SO ORDERED. 9 Date: September 25, 2025 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
24 25 26 27 28