

COURT OF APPEALS OF TEXAS, FOURTH DISTRICT, SAN ANTONIO

In re Regency Field Services, LLC, Regency Energy Partners LP,
Regency GP LP, and Regency GP LLC

No. 04-16-00430-CV (Tex. App.—San Antonio July 13, 2016) · No. No. 04-16-00430-CV · Decided July 13, 2016

SUMMARY

The Fourth Court of Appeals of Texas denied a petition for writ of mandamus filed by Regency Field Services, LLC and related entities. The court concluded that the relators were not entitled to the requested relief and cited Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 52.8(a).

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals of Texas, Fourth District, San Antonio
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Per Curiam; Karen Angelini, Justice; Rebeca C. Martinez, Justice; Luz Elena D. Chapa, Justice
JURISDICTION	Texas
DECIDED	July 13, 2016
DOCKET	No. 04-16-00430-CV
DISPOSITION	writ_denied
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Relators filed an original petition for writ of mandamus in the Fourth Court of Appeals of Texas.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published memorandum opinion; no reporter citation appears in the source.
PARTIES	Regency Field Services, LLC, Regency Energy Partners LP, Regency GP LP, Regency GP LLC

TOPICS

writ of certiorari appellate procedure remedies civil procedure commercial litigation

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure appellate procedure remedies commercial litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether relators were entitled to relief on their petition for writ of mandamus.

HOLDINGS

1. Relators were not entitled to the relief sought, and the petition for writ of mandamus was denied.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“The court has considered Relators’ petition for writ of mandamus and is of the opinion that Relators are not entitled to the relief sought. Accordingly, the petition for writ of mandamus is denied.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The mandamus proceeding arose from Cause No. M-14-0029-CV-C, a case pending in the 343rd Judicial District Court of McMullen County, Texas. The opinion does not describe the underlying substantive facts or the specific trial-court ruling challenged by relators.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On July 1, 2016, the relators filed a petition for writ of mandamus challenging proceedings arising from a case pending in the 343rd Judicial District Court of McMullen County, Texas. The court denied the petition after determining that relators were not entitled to the relief sought.

DISPOSITION

writ_denied

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Fourth Court of Appeals San Antonio, Texas MEMORANDUM OPINION No. 04-16-00430-CV IN RE REGENCY FIELD SERVICES, LLC, Regency Energy Partners LP, Regency GP LP, and Regency GP LLC Original Mandamus Proceeding 1 PER CURIAM Sitting: Karen Angelini, Justice Rebeca C. Martinez, Justice Luz Elena D. Chapa, Justice Delivered and Filed: July 13, 2016 PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS DENIED On July 1, 2016, Relators filed a petition for writ of mandamus.

The court has considered Relators’ petition for writ of mandamus and is of the opinion that Relators are not entitled to the relief sought. Accordingly, the petition for writ of mandamus is denied. See TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a). PER CURIAM 1 This proceeding arises out of Cause No. M-14-0029-CV-C, styled Leo O. Quintanilla, Hector Quintanilla, Paloma Cattle Company, Ltd., LHQ Management, LLC, and Escondido Hunting, Inc., Plaintiffs, John B. Dickinson, R. Ross Dickinson, Doris A. Dickinson, Jeffrey L. Dickinson, and Jill E. Rader, Intervenor, Swift Energy Operating, LLC, Intervenor, v. Regency Field Services, LLC, Regency Energy Partners, LP,

Regency GP LP, and Regency GP LLC, pending in the 343rd Judicial District Court, McMullen County, Texas, the Honorable Janna K. Whatley presiding.

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/texas-court-of-appeals-of-texas-fourth-district-san-antonio/2016/in-re-regency-field-services-llc-regency-energy-partners-lp-z9dncvb4>