

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
FOURTH JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT**

Kregg v. Maldonado

Kregg v. Maldonado · No. CA 12-01664 · Decided November 8, 2013

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department 1041 CA 12-01664 PRESENT: SCUDDER, P.J., CENTRA, CARNI, LINDLEY, AND SCONIERS, JJ. CHARLOTTE KREGG, AS GUARDIAN OF CHRISTOPHER M. WILLIAMS, PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT, V MEMORANDUM AND ORDER EILEEN MALDONADO, ET AL., DEFENDANTS, AMERICAN SUZUKI MOTOR CORPORATION AND SUZUKI MOTOR CORPORATION OF JAPAN, DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS.

LIPSITZ GREEN SCIME CAMBRIA LLP, BUFFALO (JOHN A. COLLINS OF COUNSEL), FOR PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT. WEBSTER SZANYI LLP, BUFFALO (THOMAS S. LANE OF COUNSEL), FOR DEFENDANTS-RESPONDENTS. Appeal from an order of the Supreme Court, Erie County (John L. Michalski, A.J.), entered January 25, 2012.

The order denied the cross motion of plaintiff to compel defendants American Suzuki Motor Corporation and Suzuki Motor Corporation of Japan to further respond to her notice to produce. It is hereby ORDERED that the order so appealed from is unanimously affirmed without costs. Memorandum: The parties appear before us for a second time on a dispute over discovery (see Kregg v Maldonado, 98 AD3d 1289) in this action seeking damages for injuries sustained by Christopher M. Williams when he was driving a Suzuki motorcycle.

Supreme Court properly denied plaintiff's cross motion to compel defendants American Suzuki Motor Corporation and Suzuki Motor Corporation of Japan to further respond to plaintiff's notice to produce. Plaintiff's "bare allegations of relevancy" with respect to the information sought are insufficient to entitle plaintiff to that relief (Crazytown Furniture v Brooklyn Union Gas Co., 150 AD2d 420, 421; see Dempski v State Farm Mut.

Auto. Ins. Co., 249 AD2d 895, 896). Entered: November 8, 2013 Frances E. Cafarell Clerk of the Court