

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Teddy Richards v. Tesla Inc.

Richards · No. 25-cv-06013-WHO · Decided October 16, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Northern District of California dismissed Teddy Richards's case against Tesla Inc. without prejudice. The court dismissed the case for failure to pay the filing fee, failure to submit a complete and sufficient in forma pauperis application, and failure to comply with court orders.

OPINION

1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7
TEDDY RICHARDS, Case No. 25-cv-06013-WHO 8 Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE
FOR 9 Vv. FAILURE TO PAY FILING FEE 10 TESLA INC., ll Defendant. 12 On September 9,
2025, I ADOPTED the Report and Recommendation of Judge Joseph C. 13 || Spero. Dkt. No. 13. I
found that despite repeated orders, plaintiff Teddy Richards had not 14 || provided complete and
sufficient information to be allowed to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP").

15 || Therefore, I ordered plaintiff Richards to pay the required filing within 30 days. a 16 As of
the date of this Order, Richards still has not paid the filing fee or submitted a 3 17 || complete and
sufficient IFP application. 18 Therefore, this case is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to
pay the filing fee, 19 || failure to submit a complete and sufficient application to proceed in forma
pauperis, and failure to 20 || comply with the orders of this Court.

See Mederer v. Access Cap. Inv. Fund Two, LP, No. 21-cv- 21 9699-YGR, 2022 WL 2289056, at
*1 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 18, 2022) (dismissing a case for failure to 22 || pay the filing fee). 23 IT IS SO
ORDERED. 24 Dated: October 16, 2025 26. liam H. Orrick 27 United States District Judge 28