

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Teddy Richards v. Tesla Inc.

Richards · No. 25-cv-06013-WHO · Decided October 16, 2025

OPINION

1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7
TEDDY RICHARDS, Case No. 25-cv-06013-WHO 8 Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE
FOR 9 Vv. FAILURE TO PAY FILING FEE 10 TESLA INC., 11 Defendant. 12 On September 9,
2025, I ADOPTED the Report and Recommendation of Judge Joseph C. 13 || Spero. Dkt. No. 13. I
found that despite repeated orders, plaintiff Teddy Richards had not 14 || provided complete and
sufficient information to be allowed to proceed in forma pauperis (“IFP”).

15 || Therefore, I ordered plaintiff Richards to pay the required filing within 30 days. a 16 As of
the date of this Order, Richards still has not paid the filing fee or submitted a 3 17 || complete and
sufficient IFP application. 18 Therefore, this case is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to
pay the filing fee, 19 || failure to submit a complete and sufficient application to proceed in forma
pauperis, and failure to 20 || comply with the orders of this Court.

See Mederer v. Access Cap. Inv. Fund Two, LP, No. 21-cv- 21 9699-YGR, 2022 WL 2289056, at
*1 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 18, 2022) (dismissing a case for failure to 22 || pay the filing fee). 23 IT IS SO
ORDERED. 24 Dated: October 16, 2025 26. liam H. Orrick 27 United States District Judge 28