

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF
MICHIGAN, SOUTHERN DIVISION

Sameer Siraj Mauro Bey v. Santander Consumer USA, Inc., et al.

Mauro Bey v. Santander Consumer USA, Inc. · No. 1:25-cv-1537 · Decided February 23, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan adopted a magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation and dismissed Sameer Siraj Mauro Bey’s amended complaint upon initial screening under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). The court further certified that any appeal would not be taken in good faith because it would be frivolous.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, Southern Division
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Paul L. Maloney
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, Southern Division
DECIDED	February 23, 2026
DOCKET	1:25-cv-1537
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's report and recommendation recommending dismissal of the action at initial screening under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). No objections were filed.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court reviewed the magistrate judge's report and recommendation under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); no objections were filed.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown

TOPICS

- civil procedure
- appellate procedure
- motions to dismiss
- pleadings

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- appellate procedure
- fair debt collection

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the court should approve and adopt the magistrate judge's report and recommendation recommending dismissal upon initial screening under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).
2. Whether the court should certify that an appeal would not be taken in good faith.

HOLDINGS

1. The court approved and adopted the magistrate judge's report and recommendation as the opinion of the court and dismissed the complaint for the reasons stated in that recommendation.
2. The court certified that an appeal would not be taken in good faith because any issue plaintiff might raise on appeal would be frivolous.

KEY QUOTATIONS

"IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that for the same reasons the Court concludes that Plaintiff's claims are properly dismissed, the Court also concludes that any issue Plaintiff might raise on appeal would be frivolous."

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff initiated the lawsuit by filing a complaint against Santander Consumer USA, Inc., and other defendants on November 24, 2025, followed by an amended complaint on December 15, 2025. The magistrate judge recommended dismissal during initial screening, and the district court adopted that recommendation without objections.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff filed the action on November 24, 2025, and filed an amended complaint on December 15, 2025. On January 28, 2026, the magistrate judge issued a report and recommendation recommending dismissal upon initial screening. After no objections were filed, the district court approved and adopted the report and recommendation, dismissed the complaint, and certified that any appeal would not be taken in good faith.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962)