

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

**Aegis Therapies, Inc. v. Dycora Transitional Health – Clovis LLC, et
al.**

No. 1:21-cv-00605-KES-EPG, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (September 16,
2025)

OPINION

Aegis Therapies, Inc. v. Dycora Transitional Health – Clovis LLC, et al.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 10 AEGIS THERAPIES, INC., Case No. 1:21-cv-00605-KES-EPG 11 Plaintiff, ORDER
REGARDING MOTION TO

WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY

12 v. (ECF No. 97)

13 DYCORA TRANSITIONAL HEALTH –

CLOVIS LLC, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 This matter is before the Court on the motion of the
law firm of Sheppard, Mullin, Richter 17 & Hampton LLP (Sheppard Mullin) “to withdraw as
counsel for Sweetwater Care Resource, 18 LLC; The Vineyards at Fowler, LLC; Rancho Seco
Care Center, LLC; Brookside Care Center, 19 LLC, and Noble Care Center, LLC, each a third
party claimant,” collectively called the 20 Sweetwater Third Party Claimants. (ECF No. 97, p. 2).

As grounds, counsel for Sheppard Mullin 21 contends that the Sweetwater Third Party Claimants
have failed to maintain communication with 22 counsel through the in-house counsel employed
by the Sweetwater Third Party Claimants. As 23 explained below, the Court will reset the hearing
and require supplemental filings. 24 As an initial matter, counsel set the motion to withdraw for a
hearing on November 17, 25 2025, before the assigned District Judge. However, under Local Rule
302(a), non-dispositive 26 motions are handled by the undersigned. Accordingly, the hearing will
be reset on a date 27 compatible with the undersigned’s calendar. 28 1 Local Rule 182(d) governs
withdraw of counsel in these circumstances: 2 Unless otherwise provided herein, an attorney who
has appeared may not withdraw leaving the client in propria persona without leave of court upon
noticed 3 motion and notice to the client and all other parties who have appeared. The attorney
shall provide an affidavit stating the current or last known address 4 or addresses of the client and
the efforts made to notify the client of the motion to withdraw. Withdrawal as attorney is governed
by the Rules of 5 Professional Conduct of the State Bar of California, and the attorney shall

conform 6 to the requirements of those Rules. The authority and duty of the attorney of record shall continue until relieved by order of the Court issued hereunder. Leave to 7 withdraw may be granted subject to such appropriate conditions as the Court deems fit. 8 E.D. Cal. LR 182(d) (emphasis added). 9 The motion here is supported by the declaration of Attorney Anna S. McLean. However, 10 the affidavit does not state the current or last known address(es) of any of the Sweetwater Third 11 Party Claimants. (ECF No. 97-1, pp. 2-3). Accordingly, the Court will require counsel to file a 12 supplemental affidavit providing this information (and any other pertinent contact information) so 13 that, if counsel is permitted to withdraw, the Court has an address for each of the Sweetwater 14 Third Party Claimants to place on the docket. 15 As far as the efforts made to notify the Sweetwater Third Party Claimants of the motion to 16 withdraw, the affidavit states “Sheppard Mullin has duly advised Sweetwater Third Party 17 Claimants, by correspondence dated May 23, 2025 of its intention to withdraw and the reasons 18 therefor. Additional emails were sent on July 23 and September 9 addressed to its General 19 Counsel, of the reasons necessitating Sheppard Mullin’s withdrawal in the matter and requesting 20 that new counsel be retained.” (ECF No. 97-1, p. 3). Additionally, the Court notes that counsel 21 has filed a certificate of service stating that the motion and accompanying documents was served 22 on General Counsel for the Sweetwater Third Party Claimants. (ECF No. 97-2). The Court will require counsel to serve a copy of this order and counsel’s supplemental filings on the Sweetwater 23 Third Party Claimants and to file proof of service on the docket. 24 Lastly, the Court notes that “[c]orporations and other unincorporated associations must 25 appear in court through an attorney.” *In re Am. W. Airlines*, 40 F.3d 1058, 1059 (9th Cir. 1994). 26 Accordingly, if their current counsel is permitted to withdraw, each Sweetwater Third Party 27 Claimant will need to retain new counsel to litigate any matters in this case. 28 1 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED as follows: 2 1. The November 17, 2025 hearing before the assigned District Judge is reset to November 3 20, 2025, at 10 a.m. before the undersigned. 4 2. An appropriate representative, such as General Counsel, for each the Sweetwater Third 5 Party Claimants, is directed to appear at this hearing. Failure to appear may result in the 6 granting of the motion without the opportunity to be further heard. 7 3. Counsel for all parties, including an appropriate representative for each of the Sweetwater 8 Third Party Claimants, are permitted to participate telephonically, and each person 9 participating is directed to use the following dial-in number and passcode: To connect to the telephonic conference, the parties shall (1) dial 1-669-254-5252, (2) enter 161 733 0675 for the meeting ID followed by #, (3) enter # when asked for the participant ID, (4) enter 740484 for the meeting passcode followed #, and (5) enter *6 to unmute. 2 4. By no later than September 23, 2025, counsel shall file a supplemental affidavit providing 13 the current or last known address(es), and any additional contact information, for each of the Sweetwater Third Party Claimants. 15 5. By no later than September 23, 2025, counsel shall file proof of service of counsel’s 16 supplemental affidavit and this order as to each of the Sweetwater Third Party Claimants. 17 Additionally, if counsel files any other documents, each document must likewise be

18 served on each Sweetwater Third Party Claimant with an accompanying proof of service. 19 20
IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 | Dated: _ September 16, 2025 [sf ey —
0 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2025/aegis-therapies-inc-v-dycora-transitional-health-clovis-llc-zk8dk595>