

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Youngblood v. Solano County Jail

Youngblood · No. No. 2:25-cv-1068 CSK P · Decided May 23, 2025

SUMMARY

The court notes that the plaintiff failed to file the required in forma pauperis affidavit and certified inmate trust account statement within the ordered period. The magistrate judge recommends dismissing the action without prejudice and advises the plaintiff of the deadline and consequences for filing objections.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Chi Soo Kim
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	May 23, 2025
DOCKET	No. 2:25-cv-1068 CSK P
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	A magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations after the plaintiff failed to submit the required in forma pauperis affidavit and certified inmate trust-account statement.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Mister Marrion Youngblood v. Solano County Jail

TOPICS

prisoners rights civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

Federal civil procedure Prisoner litigation In forma pauperis proceedings

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the action should be dismissed without prejudice after plaintiff failed to comply with the order requiring submission of documents supporting his in forma pauperis request.

HOLDINGS

1. The magistrate judge recommended that the action be dismissed without prejudice because plaintiff failed to submit the required affidavit and certified inmate trust-account statement after being given thirty days to do so.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff sought to proceed in forma pauperis. The court ordered him to file a completed supporting affidavit and a certified copy of his inmate trust-account statement for the six-month period preceding the complaint. The thirty-day deadline expired without plaintiff responding or filing either required document.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The court previously granted plaintiff thirty days to file a completed affidavit supporting his request to proceed in forma pauperis and a certified inmate trust-account statement for the preceding six months. Plaintiff did not respond or file the required documents after the deadline expired. The magistrate judge ordered assignment of a district judge and recommended dismissal without prejudice, subject to objections within fourteen days.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991)

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MISTER MARRION YOUNGBLOOD, No. 2:25-cv-1068 CSK P 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 14 SOLANO COUNTY JAIL, 15 Defendant. 16 17 By an order filed April 15, 2025, plaintiff was granted thirty days to file a completed 18 affidavit in support of his request to proceed in forma pauperis and a certified copy of the inmate 19 trust account statement for the six month period immediately preceding the filing of the 20 complaint.

(ECF No. 4.) The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded 21 to the Court’s order and has not filed the required documents. 22 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is 23 directed to assign a district judge to this case; and 24 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

25 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 26
assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 27
after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
28 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 1 |
“Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that 2 ||
failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District 3 ||
Court’s order.

Martinez v. YIst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 4 5 Dated: May 22, 2025 ☐☐☐ Spo | CHI SOO
KIM 7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 8 9 10 1] 12 || Young1068.fifp/2 13 14 15 16
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28