

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT**

Matter of Nuria D. Z. P. (Jose D. Z. M.)

2026 NY Slip Op 03314 · No. 2025-07384 · Decided May 27, 2026

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, Second Department, reversed a Nassau County Family Court order denying a father's motion for special immigrant juvenile status findings. The court found that reunification of the child with her mother was not viable due to parental abandonment and that returning the child to El Salvador would not be in her best interest. The motion for the required findings was therefore granted.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Matter of Nuria D. Z. P. (Jose D. Z. M.) - 2026 NY Slip Op 03314 skip to main content It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website. Law Reporting Bureau Thomas J.K. Smith, State Reporter Court Decisions Resources About Home All Court Decisions Decisions Matter of Nuria D. Z. P. (Jose D. Z. M.) 2026 NY Slip Op 03314 May 27, 2026 Appellate Division, Second Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. In the Matter of Nuria D. Z. P. (Anonymous). Jose D. Z. M. (Anonymous), appellant; Maria C. P. R. (Anonymous), respondent. Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department Decided on May 27, 2026 2025-07384, (Docket No. G-2322-25) Betsy Barros, J.P.

Valerie Brathwaite Nelson Laurence L. Love Susan Quirk, JJ. Bruno J. Bembi, Hempstead, NY, for appellant. [*1] DECISION & ORDER In a proceeding pursuant to Family Court Act article 6, the petitioner appeals from an order of the Family Court, Nassau County (Segal Blakeman, J.), dated May 14, 2025.

The order denied the petitioner's motion for the issuance of an order, inter alia, making specific findings so as to enable the subject child to petition the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services for special immigrant juvenile status pursuant to 8 USC § 1101 (a)(27)(J). ORDERED that the order is reversed, on the facts, without costs or disbursements, the petitioner's motion for the issuance of an order, inter alia, making specific findings so as to enable the subject child to petition the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services for special immigrant juvenile

status pursuant to 8 USC § 1101 (a)(27)(J) is granted, and it is found that reunification of the subject child with her mother is not viable due to parental abandonment and that it would not be in the best interest of the subject child to return to El Salvador, her previous country of nationality and last habitual residence.

In March 2025, the petitioner, the subject child's father, filed a petition pursuant to Family Court Act article 6 to be appointed guardian of the child. The petitioner also moved for the issuance of an order, inter alia, making specific findings so as to enable the child to petition to the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services for special immigrant juvenile status (hereinafter SIJS) pursuant to 8 USC § 1101 (a)(27)(J).

The Family Court, after a hearing, granted the guardianship petition, but in an order dated May 14, 2025, denied the motion to issue an order, inter alia, making specific findings so as to enable the child to petition for SIJS pursuant to 8 USC § 1107 (a)(27)(J).

The petitioner appeals. "Pursuant to 8 USC § 1101 (a)(27)(J) (as amended by the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008, Pub L 110-457, 122 US Stat 5044) and 8 CFR 204.11, a special immigrant is a [person not a citizen or national of the United States] who is, inter alia, under 21 years of age, unmarried, and dependent upon a juvenile court or legally committed to an individual appointed by a state or juvenile court" (Matter of Nery F.Z.V.

[Ezequiel Z.G.—Maria E.V.M.], 233 AD3d 883, 883 [internal quotation marks omitted]). "Additionally, for a juvenile to qualify for special immigrant status, a court must find that reunification of the juvenile with one or both of the juvenile's parents is not viable due to parental abuse, neglect, abandonment, or a similar basis found under State law, and that it would not be in the juvenile's best interest to be returned to his or her native country or country of last habitual residence" (id. at 884 [internal [*2] quotation marks omitted]; see 8 USC § 1101 [a][27][J]; 8 CFR 204.11).

In reviewing a court's determination of a motion for specific findings, this Court's power to review the evidence is as broad as that of the hearing court, and where the record is sufficiently complete to make our own factual determinations, we may do so (see Matter of Saul E.M.L. v Edmundo M.M., 244 AD3d 1126, 1127; Matter of Claudio D.A.I. [Segundo A.—Maria D.I.L.], 225 AD3d 688, 689).

Here, based upon our independent factual review, the record supports a finding that reunification of the child with her mother is not viable due to parental abandonment (see Matter of Saul E.M.L. v Edmundo M.M., 244 AD3d at 1127; Matter of Claudio D.A.I. [Segundo A.—Maria D.I.L.], 225 AD3d at 689; Matter of Briceyda M.A.X. [Hugo R.A.O.], 190 AD3d 752, 753-754).

Further, the record supports a finding that it would not be in the best interest of the child to return to El Salvador, her previous country of nationality and country of last habitual residence (see Matter of Euceda v Romero, 233 AD3d 680, 682; Matter of Rosa M.M.-G. v Dimas A., 194 AD3d 813, 815; Matter of Briceyda M.A.X. [Hugo R.A.O.], 190 AD3d at 754).

Accordingly, the Family Court should have granted the motion for the issuance of an order, inter alia, making specific findings so as to enable the child to petition the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services for SIJS pursuant to 8 USC § 1107 (a)(27)(J). BARROS, J.P., BRATHWAITE NELSON, LOVE and QUIRK, JJ., concur. ENTER: Darrell M. Joseph Clerk of the Court

Court Decisions All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search Resources RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index About About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter Quick Contact Info 17 Lodge Street Albany, NY 12207 Phone: (518) 453-6900 Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

PER CURIAM.

Matter of Nuria D. Z. P. (Jose D. Z. M.) - 2026 NY Slip Op 03314

It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website.

Law Reporting Bureau Home Page

Law Reporting Bureau

Thomas J.K.

Smith, State Reporter

Court Decisions Resources About

lrb-seal.png

Home

All Court Decisions

Decisions

Matter of Nuria D. Z. P. (Jose D. Z. M.)

2026 NY Slip Op 03314

May 27, 2026

Appellate Division, Second Department

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

In the Matter of Nuria D. Z. P. (Anonymous).

Jose D. Z. M. (Anonymous), appellant; Maria C. P. R. (Anonymous), respondent.

Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department

Decided on May 27, 2026

2025-07384, (Docket No. G-2322-25)

Betsy Barros, J.P.

Valerie Brathwaite Nelson

Laurence L. Love

Susan Quirk, JJ.

Bruno J. Bembi, Hempstead, NY, for appellant.

DECISION & ORDER

In a proceeding pursuant to Family Court Act article 6, the petitioner appeals from an order of the Family Court, Nassau County (Segal Blakeman, J.), dated May 14, 2025.

The order denied the petitioner's motion for the issuance of an order, inter alia, making specific findings so as to enable the subject child to petition the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services for special immigrant juvenile status pursuant to 8 USC § 1101(a)(27)(J).

ORDERED that the order is reversed, on the facts, without costs or disbursements, the petitioner's motion for the issuance of an order, inter alia, making specific findings so as to enable the subject child to petition the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services for special immigrant juvenile status pursuant to 8 USC § 1101(a)(27)(J) is granted, and it is found that reunification of the subject child with her mother is not viable due to parental abandonment and that it would not be in the best interest of the subject child to return to El Salvador, her previous country of nationality and last habitual residence.

In March 2025, the petitioner, the subject child's father, filed a petition pursuant to Family Court Act article 6 to be appointed guardian of the child.

The petitioner also moved for the issuance of an order, inter alia, making specific findings so as to enable the child to petition to the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services for special immigrant juvenile status (hereinafter SIJS) pursuant to 8 USC § 1101(a)(27)(J).

The Family Court, after a hearing, granted the guardianship petition, but in an order dated May 14, 2025, denied the motion to issue an order, inter alia, making specific findings so as to enable the child to petition for SIJS pursuant to 8 USC § 1107(a)(27)(J).

The petitioner appeals.

"Pursuant to 8 USC § 1101(a)(27)(J) (as amended by the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008, Pub L 110-457, 122 US Stat 5044) and 8 CFR 204.11, a special immigrant is a [person not a citizen or national of the United States] who is, inter alia, under 21 years of age, unmarried, and dependent upon a juvenile court or legally committed to an individual appointed by a state or juvenile court" (*Matter of Nery F.Z.V.*

[*Ezequiel Z.G.—Maria E.V.M.*], 233 AD3d 883, 883 [internal quotation marks omitted]). "Additionally, for a juvenile to qualify for special immigrant status, a court must find that reunification of the juvenile with one or both of the juvenile's parents is not viable due to parental abuse, neglect, abandonment, or a similar basis found under State law, and that it would not be in the juvenile's best interest to be returned to his or her native country or country of last habitual residence" (*id.* at 884 [internal quotation marks omitted]; *see* 8 USC § 1101[a](27)(J); 8 CFR 204.11).

In reviewing a court's determination of a motion for specific findings, this Court's power to review the evidence is as broad as that of the hearing court, and where the record is sufficiently complete to make our own factual determinations, we may do so (*see* *Matter of Saul E.M.L. v Edmundo M.M.*, 244 AD3d 1126, 1127; *Matter of Claudio D.A.I.*

[Segundo A.—Maria D.I.L.]</i>, 225 AD3d 688, 689). Here, based upon our independent factual review, the record supports a finding that reunification of the child with her mother is not viable due to parental abandonment (<i>see</i> <i>Matter of Saul E.M.L. v Edmundo M.M.</i>, 244 AD3d at 1127; <i>Matter of Claudio D.A.I. [Segundo A.—Maria D.I.L.]</i>, 225 AD3d at 689; <i>Matter of Briceyda M.A.X.

[Hugo R.A.O.]</i>, 190 AD3d 752, 753-754). Further, the record supports a finding that it would not be in the best interest of the child to return to El Salvador, her previous country of nationality and country of last habitual residence (<i>see</i> <i>Matter of Euceda v Romero</i>, 233 AD3d 680, 682; <i>Matter of Rosa M.M.-G. v Dimas A.</i>, 194 AD3d 813, 815; <i>Matter of Briceyda M.A.X.

[Hugo R.A.O.]</i>, 190 AD3d at 754).</p> <p>Accordingly, the Family Court should have granted the motion for the issuance of an order, inter alia, making specific findings so as to enable the child to petition the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services for SIJS pursuant to 8 USC § 1107(a)(27)(J).</p> <p>BARROS, J.P., BRATHWAITE NELSON, LOVE and QUIRK, JJ., concur.</p> <p>ENTER:</p> <p>Darrell M. Joseph</p> <p>Clerk of the Court</p> </div> <div> <div> <p>Court Decisions</p> All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search </div> <div> <p>Resources</p> RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index </div> <div> <p>About</p> About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter </div> <div> <p>Quick Contact Info</p> <p>17 Lodge Street</p> <p>Albany, NY 12207</p> <p>Phone: (518) 453-6900</p> </div> </div> <div> <p>Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.</p> </div> </div>