

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Kloii Hollis v. City of Los Angeles

Case No. 2:25-cv-06319-SK · No. 2:25-cv-06319-SK · Decided January 28, 2026

SUMMARY

This document is a stipulated protective order entered by the United States District Court for the Central District of California in Kloii Hollis v. City of Los Angeles, et al. It establishes procedures for designating, accessing, challenging, filing, and disposing of confidential discovery materials, including law-enforcement and medical records. The order was stipulated through counsel on January 27, 2026, and ordered by Magistrate Judge Steve Kim on January 28, 2026.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Central District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Steve Kim
DECIDED	January 28, 2026
DOCKET	2:25-cv-06319-SK
DISPOSITION	approved
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Stipulated Protective Order
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	non_precedential

TOPICS

- civil procedure
- discovery dispute
- civil rights
- municipal law

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- civil rights
- municipal law

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether good cause exists to enter a stipulated protective order governing the designation and use of confidential discovery materials in this civil rights action.

HOLDINGS

- Good cause exists to enter the Stipulated Protective Order to protect confidential, proprietary, or private information, including police reports, videos, law enforcement records, and medical records, from public

disclosure during discovery.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The case involves an alleged encounter between Plaintiff Kloii Hollis and Los Angeles Police Department officers during a protest on or about June 23, 2024. Plaintiff alleges she was struck in the face by a police baton and suffered injuries. Discovery is expected to involve confidential, proprietary, or private information including police reports, videos, and other law enforcement records.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The parties stipulated to a protective order for discovery materials in this civil rights action. The Court issued the Stipulated Protective Order.

DISPOSITION

approved

CASES CITED

- Pitchess v. Superior Court, 11 Cal.3d 531 (1974)
- Green v. Baca, 226 F.R.D. 624 (C.D. Cal. 2005)
- A. Farber and Partners, Inc. v. Garber, 234 F.R.D. 186 (C.D. Cal. 2006)
- Kerr v. United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 511 F.2d 192 (9th Cir. 1975)
- Sanchez v. City of Santa Ana, 936 F.2d 1027 (9th Cir. 1990)
- Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006)