

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
TEXAS, CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION**

Darrell Anthony Williams v. Hooper, et al.

Williams v. Hooper · No. 2:25-CV-00319 · Decided February 23, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas adopted a magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation after no timely objections were filed. The court denied the plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, denied as moot the motions for preliminary injunction, temporary restraining order, and court-ordered surgery, and dismissed the action without prejudice.

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT February 23, 2026 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION DARRELL ANTHONY WILLIAMS, § §
Plaintiff, § § VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:25-CV-00319 § HOOPER, et al., § § Defendants. §
ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION On January 21, 2026,
United States Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton issued her "Memorandum and
Recommendation" (D.E.

45), recommending that all pending motions (D.E. 2, 6, 7, 28) be denied and this action be
dismissed. The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate
Judge's memorandum and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General
Order No. 2002- 13. No objections have been timely filed. When no timely objection to a
magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy
itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge's
memorandum and recommendation.

Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc., 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing Douglass v. United Servs.
Auto Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)). Having reviewed the findings of fact and
conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge's memorandum and recommendation (D.E.
45), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court ADOPTS
as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge.

Accordingly, the Court: e DENIES Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (D.E. 2); e
DENIES as MOOT Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction (D.E. 6), emergency motion for
temporary restraining order (D.E. 7), and motion for court ordered surgery (D.E. 28); and e

DISMISSES this action WITHOUT PREJUDICE. ORDERED on February 23, 2026. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 2/2

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-southern-district-of-te/2026/darrell-anthony-williams-v-hooper-et-al-zqb52qff>