

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Robert Wooten v. Donald J. Trump

No. 2:25-cv-00873-DC-AC (PS) · No. No. 2:25-cv-00873-DC-AC (PS) · Decided January 5, 2026

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 ROBERT WOOTEN, No. 2:25-cv-00873-DC-AC (PS) 12 Plaintiff, 13 v.
ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION 14 DONALD J.
TRUMP, (Doc. No. 24) 15 Defendant. 16 17 This matter is before the court on Plaintiff’s motion
for reconsideration of the court’s 18 June 24, 2025¹ order adopting the assigned magistrate
judge’s findings and recommendations and 19 closing this case.

(Doc. Nos. 17, 24.) 20 In his pending motion, Plaintiff invokes Rules 59(e) of the Federal Rules of
Civil 21 Procedure, which applies after judgment has been entered and provides that “[a] motion
to alter or 22 amend a judgment must be filed no later than 28 days after the entry of the
judgment.” Fed. R. 23 Civ. P. 59(e). “A timely filed motion for reconsideration under a local rule
is a motion to alter or 24 amend a judgment under [Rule] 59(e).” *Bestran Corp. v. Eagle*
Comtronics, Inc., 720 F.2d 1019, 25 1019 (9th Cir.

1983). A motion for reconsideration filed outside that time period is treated as a 26 Rule 60(b)
motion for relief from judgment or order, which “must be made within a reasonable 27 28 1 The
court’s June 24, 2025 order was entered on the docket on June 25, 2025. (Doc. No. 17.) 1 | time.”
Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(c); *Rishor v. Ferguson*, 822 F.3d 482, 490 (9th Cir.

2016). 2 “Reconsideration is appropriate if the district court (1) is presented with newly
discovered 3 | evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust, or
(3) if there is 4 | an intervening change in controlling law.” *Sch. Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah Cnty.*,
Or. v. ACandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993). A motion for reconsideration does not,
however, give the 6 | moving party a “second bite at the apple.” *Weeks v. Bayer*, 246 F.3d 1231,
1236 (9th Cir.

2001) 7 | (citation omitted). 8 In addition, Local Rule 230Q) requires, in relevant part, that in
moving for reconsideration 9 | of an order denying or granting a prior motion, a party must show
“what new or different facts or 10 | circumstances are claimed to exist which did not exist or were
not shown” previously, “what 11 | other grounds exist for the motion,” and “why the facts or
circumstances were not shown” at the 12 | time the substance of the order which is objected to was
considered.

L.R. 230(j). 13 Here, Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration does not identify any basis that warrants 14 | reconsideration of the court's June 24, 2025 order. Rather, Plaintiff reiterates his grievances 15 | against Defendant Trump. (Doc. No. 24.)

Thus, Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration will be 16 | denied. 17 Accordingly, 18 1. Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Doc. No. 24) is DENIED; and 19 2. This case shall remain closed. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED.: 29 | Dated: _December 31, 2025_ DUC Dena Coggins 23 United States District Judge 24 25 26 27 28