

INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF HAWAI‘I

Jerre Psak v. Keith Kardash

Psak v. Kardash · No. CAAP-XX-XXXXXXX · Decided March 31, 2026

OPINION

Psak v. Kardash

PER CURIAM.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAI‘I REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

Electronically Filed Intermediate Court of Appeals

CAAP-XX-XXXXXXX

31-MAR-2026

08:05 AM Dkt. 79 SO

NO. CAAP-XX-XXXXXXX

IN THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE STATE OF HAWAI‘I

JERRE PSAK, Petitioner-Appellee, v. KEITH KARDASH, Respondent-Appellant.

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT

HONOLULU DIVISION

(CIVIL NO. 1DSS-XX-XXXXXXX)

SUMMARY DISPOSITION ORDER

(By: Leonard, Presiding Judge, Hiraoka and McCullen, JJ.) Self-represented Respondent-Appellant Keith Kardash appeals from the District Court of the First Circuit's November 26, 2024 summary denial of his post-judgment "Expedited Non-Hearing Ex Parte Motion for an Order Staying Enforcement of First Amended Injunction Against Harassment Filed on 11/1/23 Pending a Court Hearing on Respondent's Non-Hearing Motion for an Order Clarifying [sic] the Vague and Ambiguous Special Conditions 1 and 2 of the First Amended Injunction Against

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAI‘I REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

Harassment Filed on 11/1/23, Filed on 7/30/24, and on Respondent's Non-Hearing Motion for a Court Order Allowing Respondent to Play the Violin" (Order Denying Motion to Stay). 1 (Formatting altered.) The First Amended Injunction Against Harassment was entered in favor of his neighbor, Petitioner- Appellee Jerre Psak, on November 1, 2023, pursuant to Hawai‘i Revised Statutes § 604-10.5 (2016 & Supp. 2023). 2 Kardash's opening brief consists of two sentences: Judge Chris A.K. Thomas and Judge David Hayakawa were biased, failed to support their decisions with evidence, testimony or legal basis, abused their power and violated the Hawaii Revised Code of Judicial Conduct. This Honorable Court should reverse Judge Thomas' and Judge Hayakawa's decisions, vacate Petitioner-Appellee JERRE PSAK'S injunction and award

Respondent-Appellant KEITH D. KARDASH with attorney's fees and costs. See Hawai'i Rules of Appellate Procedure (HRAP) Rule 28(b) (setting forth opening brief requirements); HRAP Rule 1(d) ("Attorneys and pro se parties are deemed to be aware of, and are expected to comply with, all of the provisions of these rules."). No answering brief was filed. Kardash appears to seek reversal of the district court's various orders, vacatur of the First Amended Injunction Against Harassment, and an award of attorneys' fees. However, 1 The Honorable David M. Hayakawa entered the November 26, 2024 Order Denying Motion to Stay. 2 The Honorable Chris A.K. Thomas entered the November 1, 2023 First Amended Injunction Against Harassment. There was no appeal from this injunction. Thus, we have no jurisdiction to review the First Amended Injunction Against Harassment. 2

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAI'I REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

Kardash appeals from the Order Denying Motion to Stay and presents no discernible argument upon which this court can conclude the district court abused its discretion as to that order. See *Erum v. Llego*, 147 Hawai'i 368, 380, 465 P.3d 815, 827 (2020) (requiring self-represented filings to be liberally construed "so long as the litigant's argument can reasonably be discerned"). Based on the foregoing, we affirm the district court's November 26, 2024 Order Denying Motion to Stay. DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, March 31, 2026. On the briefs: /s/ Katherine G. Leonard Presiding Judge Keith Kardash, Self-represented /s/ Keith K. Hiraoka Respondent-Appellant. Associate Judge /s/ Sonja M.P. McCullen Associate Judge 3